# **DRAFT EQIP Ranking Worksheet**

| Client   |                  |             |                  | D     | ate  | March 22, 2004 |       |   |
|--|------------------|-------------|------------------|-------|------|----------------|-------|---|
| Farm / Tract(s)  |                  |             |                  | Cou   | ınty |                |       |   |
| Sign-Up  |                  | EQIP Rankin | g Period FY 2004 |       | Ву   |                |       |   |
|  | Weight           | CMU 1       | CMU 2            | CMU 3 |      | CMU 4          | CMU 5 |   |
| Resource Concerns  | Factor<br>(1-25) | Score       | Score            | Sco   | ore  | Score          | Score |   |
| 1. Soil Erosion - Sheet & Rill<br>Erosion                                  | 25               | 0           | 0                | 0     | )    | 0              | 0     | The contract application is very likely to benefit the following resources: |
| 2. Soil Erosion - Wind Erosion   | 10               | 0           | 0                | 0     |      | 0              | 0     |   |
| 3. Soil Erosion - Classic Gully<br>Erosion                                 | 25               | 0           | 0                | 0     | )    | 0              | 0     | Idaho Selected T&E Species (Aquatics & N. Id. Grd. Squirrel)                |
| 4. Soil Erosion -Streambank and/or Shoreline                               | 10               | 0           | 0                | 0     | )    | 0              | 0     | 303d listed water body  |
| 5. Soil Erosion - Irrigation Induced                                       | 5                | 0           | 0                | 0     | )    | 0              | 0     | TMDL Implementation Watershed   |
| 6. Soil Condition - Organic Matter Depletion                               | 20               | 0           | 0                | 0     | )    | 0              | 0     | Critical Drinking Protection Area   |
| 7. Water Quantity -Inefficient Water Use on Irrigated Land                 | 5                | 0           | 0                | 0     | )    | 0              | 0     | Ground Water Vulnerabilty Area  |
| 8. Water Quality- Excessive<br>Nutrients and Organics                      | 25               | 0           | 0                | 0     | )    | 0              | 0     | Groundwater Nitrate Priority Area   |
| 9. Air Quality - Particulate mater less than 10 mircometers (PM10)         | 10               | 0           | 0                | 0     | )    | 0              | 0     | Livestock operation   |
| 10. Plant condition - Noxious and Invasive Plants                          | 20               | 0           | 0                | 0     | )    | 0              | 0     |   |
| 11. Plant condition: Productivity, Health & Vigor                          | 20               | 0           | 0                | 0     | )    | 0              | 0     |   |
| 12.Domestic Animals - Inadequate Quanties and Quality of Feed and/or Water | 10               | 0           | 0                | 0     | )    | 0              | 0     |   |
| 13. Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Food/Cover/Water (Aquatics Only)        | 10               | 0           | 0                | 0     | )    | 0              | 0     |   |
| 14. Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Food/Cover/Water (Terrestrial Only)     | 10               | 0           | 0                | 0     | )    | 0              | 0     |   |
| 15. Water Quality, Surface and Ground                                      | 25               | 0           | 0                | 0     | )    | 0              | 0     |   |
| 16. Reserved for LWG Choice  |                  | 0           | 0                | 0     | )    | 0              | 0     |   |
| Number of Resource C   | oncerns          | 0           | 0                | 0     |      | 0              | 0     |   |
| Weighted CML   | J Score          |             |                  |       |      |                |       |   |
|  | -                |             |                  |       |      |                |       | I   |

| Average of CMU Scores         |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| NOTES:                        | • |
| Producer Signature of Review: |   |

#### NRCS-ID

## **DRAFT EQIP Ranking Worksheet**

Cell: B3

Comment: Please use drop down list for choice

Cell: B4

Comment: Must be at lease 1 or math will cause an error.

Cell: C4

Comment: CMU=Conservation Management Unit

Cell: E4

Comment: CMU = Conservation Management Unti

Cell: G4

Comment: CMU = Conservation Management Unit

Cell: 14

Comment: CMU = Conservation Management Unit

Cell: K4

Comment: CMU = Conservation Management Unit

Cell: A6

Comment: Definition: The detachment and transport of soil particles by raindrop impact, surface runoff from rainfall and snowmelt runoff on frozen and thawing soil that results in a negative impact on soil productivity.

Cell: A7

Comment: Definition: The detachment and transport of soil particles by wind forces resulting in a negative impact on soil quality and/or damage to plants

Cell: A8

Comment: Definition: Deep, permanent channels caused by the convergence of surface runoff degrade soil quality. They enlarge progressively by headcutting and lateral widening. This does not include erosion from irrigation water. See Irrigation Incuded Erosion below.

Cell: A9

Comment: Definition: Accelerated loss of streambank soils restricts land and water use and management and/or Soil is eroded along shorelines by wind and wave action, causing physical damage to vegetation, limiting land use, or creating a safety hazard.

Cell: A10

Comment: Definition: Improper irrigation water application and equipment operation are causing soil erosion that degrades soil quality. This includes concentrated flow erosion due to tailwater.

Cell: A11

Comment: Definition: Soil organic matter has or will diminish to a level that degrades soil quality. The Soil Condition Index will be used to determine benchmark and/or planned condition. Guidance document SCI runs may be used.

16049\_EQIP Ranking04.xls 3/22/2004

#### NRCS-ID

### **DRAFT EQIP Ranking Worksheet**

Cell: A12

Comment: Definition: Water supplies are not optimally utilized. The seasonal irrigation efficiency is less than 85% of the designed efficiency of the system, as per the Idaho Irrigation Guide

Cell: A13

Comment: Definition: Pollution from natural or human induced nutrients such as N, P, and organics (including animal and other wastes) degrades groundwater and/or surface water quality.

Cell: A14

Comment: Definition:

Particulate matter less than 10 micrometers in diameter are suspended in the air causing potential health hazards to humans and animals.

Cell: A15

Comment: Definition: The site has noxious or invasive plants as listed by the State of Idaho are present and in an amount and location where successful control has a reasonable chance of success.

Cell: A16

Comment: Definition: Plants do not produce the yields, quality, and soil cover to meet client objectives.

Plant community fails to meet the following:

Pastureland: Forage yields are at least 75% of high management estimates cited in Soil Survey Report.

Hayland: Forage yields are at least 75% of high management estimates cited in Soil Survey Report.

Rangeland: Rangelands have a stable or upward trend and adequate plant residues for soil protection during the critical erosion period when the site: 1) is apparently below an ecological threshold that will prevent recovery to former historic climax or 2) will make an unusually slow recovery in spite of planned treatments.

Forestland/Agroforest: Forests consist of healthy stands with vigorous growth having a stand density within 25% of optimum stocking on a stems/acre basis. Plants chosen for agroforest applications are consistent with Conservation Tree and Shrub Groups (CTSG) listings and height performance.

Cell: A17

Comment: Applies to Domestic Livestock ONLY

A. Feed Definition: Total feed and forage is insufficient to meet the nutritional and production needs of the kinds and classes of livestock

B. Water - Quantity and Quality - Definition: The quantity, quality and distribution of drinking water is insufficient to meet the production goals for the kinds and classes of livestock

Cell: A18

Comment: Definition: The quality of surface waters (Natural water bodies only -- not canals or waste ditches) to support aquatic life is limited by inadequate habitat. Applies to aquatic habitat including riparian and instream habitats, migration routes, thermal conditions, flow regime, stream morphology or floodplain function is impaired by management activities. Habitat for invertebrates, amphibians, fish or other aquatic species is limited.

TOOL: Stream Visual Assessment Protocol (Biology Tech Note 29)-- contact your Area Biologist for assistance.

Cell: A19

Comment: Applies to Wildlife animals ONLY

A. Food - Definition: Quantity and quality of food is inadequate for the needs of the target animal.

- B. Cover and/or Shelter Definition: Cover, shelter and/or space are inadequate for the needs of the target animal.
- C. Water Quantity and Quality Definition: Water quantity and/or quality are inadequate for the needs of the target animal.

TOOL: Wildlife Habitat Evaluation Guide (Biology Tech Note 19) -- contact your Area Biologist for assistance.

Cell: A20

Comment: Description: Includes excessive sediment in surface water and excessive nutrients in ground water.

Cell: A21

Comment:

16049 EQIP Ranking04.xls 3/22/2004